

## Practical Uses of Structural Equation and Item Response Model Equivalency

Hugo Kenji Pereira Harada<sup>1,2\*</sup>; Lucia Pereira Barroso<sup>1,3</sup>; Julia Maria Pavan Soler<sup>1,4</sup>

- 1 Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
- 2 hkharada@ime.usp.br
- 3 lbarroso@ime.usp.br
- 4 pavan@ime.usp.br

## Abstract:

Although equivalency between Structural Equation (SEM) and Item Response (IRT) models is well known, it is not widely clear under what circumstances is better to choose one approach over another. This work compares performance of the Diagonally Weighted Least Squares (DWLS) and Maximum Likelihood/Expectation-Maximization (ML/EM) algorithm performances in the scale extremes where indicator information is minimum. Such algorithms are widely used, respectively, in SEM and IRT models. Precision of parameter estimation is also examined according to parameter type. Simulation studies, using open-source R packages, demonstrate the ML/EM performs better than DWLS at scales extremes and that, in general, ML/EM estimations are more precise the DWLS ones, especially regarding IRT discrimination parameters. Also, anecdotally, understanding how a difference on population factor mean is accommodated on model parameters is much more intuitive using IRT models which concentrates all the difference on indicator difficulty parameters.

Annecdotaly,

## Keywords:

Identification, common factor, estimation precision, discrimination, difficulty

## **References:**

 Kamata, A. Bauer, D.J. (2008). A Note on the Relation Between Factor Analytic and Item Response Theory Models. Structural Equation Modeling, 15, 136-153.
Little, T.D., Slegers, D.W., Card, N.A. (2006). A non-arbitrary method of identifying and scaling latent variables in SEM and MACS models. Structural Equation Modeling, 13, 59-72.